

annual general meeting

MINUTES OF THE AUSTRALIAN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION AGM 1991

The Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Association was held at 4.35 pm on 6 December at the Lake Jindabyne Centre, Jindabyne, New South Wales.

Members present: 180

1. APOLOGIES:

Apologies were presented on behalf of Jane Balme, Wendy Beck, Rhys Jones, Alan Thorne, John Appleton, Rod Cliff and Jim Specht.

2. CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 1990 AGM:

The President moved:
that the minutes of the 1990 AGM be accepted as published in AA 32.

Seconded: Nancy Sharp.
Motion carried.

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES:

The President moved:
that the AAA adopt the Code of Ethics for Australian Archaeologists working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage as amended at the open forum just concluded.

Nancy Sharp commented on the restricted nature of the phrase, 'working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage'. It was agreed that this be deleted to read:

that the AAA adopt the Code of Ethics for Australian Archaeologists as amended at the open forum just concluded.

Most of the initial discussion of this issue centred around the dilemma of a situation where Aboriginal people do not want the destruction of sites in any way, but where there may be considerable political pressure for development to proceed regardless.

It was suggested that there should be some recognition of circumstances where no formal consent exists for the collection of material to take place or where political pressure exists for development to take place. This led to a request for an addition to Item 6 in the Code of Ethics to read:

that the AAA recognise that there may be rare circumstances where political pressure is such that adherence to this rule will result in the destruction of demonstrably significant items of cultural heritage. In these rare situations the AAA recognises that ethical behaviour may be for the member to collect the items provided that the member has first made all practicable attempts to:

- draw the matter to the attention of relevant political authorities;
- notify the relevant indigenous communities of the political development and consult with them; and
- seek a workable compromise.

It was pointed out that there are two possible situations where an amendment to this item may be involved:

- Where Aboriginal people do not want a site to be subject to a scientific investigation.
- Where Aboriginal people are not informed and the archaeologist, for various reasons (eg. due to time constraints), is making decisions without full consultation.

It was further pointed out that an archaeologist's ethics should centre around working with trust with Aboriginal people and not collecting or salvaging material, if that is not the wish of the community, regardless of the future of the site.

As a result of this discussion Mike Green proposed:
that substantive changes to the Code of Ethics be deferred until the next AGM.

Seconded: Rowan Webb.
Motion carried *nem. con.*

David Horton reminded us that the Code of Ethics is not unchangeable and can be altered in the future. It was suggested that alterations could be published in AA for wider circulation among AAA members. The President pointed out that an initial form of words needed to be passed at his meeting so that further alterations could be made systematically at a later date.

Mike Green then proposed an amendment to his original motion to read:

that all items of the Code of Ethics which were accepted without comment at the open forum be accepted by the AGM. AA could then be used as a venue for further discussion of contentious issues.

The items which were passed without comment at the open forum were:

Principles to Abide by: 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8.
Rules to Adhere to: 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9.

It was proposed from the chair:

that all of these items be accepted as a block.
Motion carried.

Items which were amended at the open forum were:

Principles to Abide by:

Principle 4.

As there was no subsequent discussion of this item, it was moved from the chair:

that this item be accepted.
Motion carried.

Principle 5.

Val Attenbrow moved:

that this item be amended to take into account objects given as personal gifts.

Seconded: Betty Meehan.
Motion carried *nem. con.*

It was moved from the chair:

that the item be accepted as amended.
Motion carried.

Principle 7.

As there was no subsequent discussion of this item, it was moved from the chair:

that this item be accepted.
Motion carried.

Rules to Adhere to:

Rule 4.

It was suggested that this rule be amended to include a notion of the time in which copies of published materials should be presented to the Aboriginal community. It was also pointed out that 'reports and published materials' does not include theses. It was moved from the chair that this item be amended to read:

Members shall ensure that copies of all completed reports, theses and published materials resulting from their work are presented to the representatives of the identified indigenous peoples as soon as possible.

Motion carried *nem con*.

Rule 5.

As there was no subsequent discussion of this item, it was moved from the chair:

that this item be accepted.
Motion carried.

Rule 6.

Anne Ross moved:

that the AAA recognise that there may be rare circumstances where political pressure is such that adherence to this rule will result in the destruction of demonstrably significant items of cultural heritage. In these rare situations the AAA recognises that ethical behaviour may be for the member to collect the items provided that the member has first made all practicable attempts to:

- (a) draw the matter to the attention of relevant political authorities;
- (b) notify the relevant indigenous communities of the political development and consult with them; and
- (c) seek a workable compromise.

Motion lost.

There was much discussion of this item. It was suggested that the AAA write to the appropriate governmental bodies to make them aware of the Code of Ethics in general and that this item should be a strong clause which governments should be made aware of in particular. It was proposed from the chair:

that the item be accepted as it stands.
Motion carried *nem con*.

Rule 7.

It was suggested that this item only applies to those with a fieldwork component in their research and does not include a proviso for members who are involved in archival projects only. It was pointed out that as nationwide institutions are available to disseminate information to communities a mechanism still exists to involve Aboriginal people in research lacking a fieldwork component. It was further suggested that the word 'monitoring' be deleted. It was proposed from the chair that the first part of Rule 7 be amended to read:

Members shall endeavour to involve indigenous peoples in all stages of their projects ...

Motion carried.

As this concluded the discussion it was proposed from the chair:

that the amended Code of Ethics as a body be accepted.

Motion carried *nem con*.

Mike Green raised the issue of the application of appropriate measures to transgressions of the Code of Ethics. Green moved:

that the Executive solicit comments on a new clause to

the constitution relating to the possibility of sanctions against members of the Association deemed to have transgressed the Code of Ethics, and that a proposed amendment to the Constitution covering this issue be published in the 1992 June issue of AA for discussion and presentation to the 1992 AAA AGM.

Seconded: Matthew Spriggs.

Motion carried.

Hilary du Cros reminded us that the AACA constitution is a good model and recommended that the AAA seek legal advice on the Code of Ethics.

4. REPORTS:

(a) President's Report

It has been an interesting year for archaeologists in the news. In Britain an archaeologist was made a Life Peer. It is rumoured that Lord Renfrew, who is also Master of Jesus College, Cambridge, is generally known as the Lord Jesus. Australia's immediate response was to put an archaeologist in the Senate as a Democrat Senator. Later in the year we were bombarded with news of Dr Roberts, an Australian archaeologist kidnapped searching for Noah's Ark in eastern Turkey, although it later transpired that he has no archaeological qualifications and a Doctorate in Christian Education. All of which is very amusing, but has its serious side for us as an Association. We are not a professional association, and there is, as yet, no such body in Australia. We cannot prescribe, and should not prescribe, to our members any particular behaviour, nor can we prescribe, to anyone, who is entitled to call themselves 'archaeologist', but as the discipline becomes more visible in the public eye, such issues of professionalisation may well be ones where we would wish to be heard.

The outstanding and related issue for this year has been the question of the adoption of some form of Code of Ethics for Australian Archaeologists working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage. The open forum many of us have just attended, and the poster session that preceded it, were the outcome of lengthy discussions and negotiations early in the year and copious phone calls later in the year to ensure Aboriginal participation. The grant from the Australian National Heritage Commission which AAA obtained to publish the results of the poster session, also paid for ten Aboriginal participants to attend this conference.

Other business for the year:

- (a) We attended to all of the matters arising in general business at the last AGM.
- (b) We made representation to the Queensland Minister for Environment and Conservation about the Queensland nature conservation legislation. We urgently need more input from interested parties in Queensland on this issue.
- (c) We made representation to the federal Minister for Aboriginal Affairs about the Rock Art Protection Programme, administered by the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, and were pleased to hear from him that our request to continue designating a grant for rock art protection was successful.
- (d) We were kept informed about the Northern Territory legislation changes, but no comment was invited from us.
- (e) We were asked to contribute to the review of the Department of Anthropology and Sociology at the University of Queensland. After discussion with J. Hall, I decided that it was inappropriate for the AAA to be involved at this level of the discipline – a decision I was very glad of when the review of the Western Australian Department of Archaeology came up.
- (f) We were kept informed of the discussion of the return of the Mungo remains and I am personally delighted at the decision

announced by Badger Bates at the beginning of the open forum.

- (g) We have made representation to the NSW government about the proposed revisions to the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974.
- (h) We have been invited to comment on the policy on moveable heritage and representations will be made before the end of the year.
- (i) We have been invited to comment on the Australian Museum discussion paper on skeletal remains and this has been referred to the skeletal remains sub-committee.

I would like to thank Heather Burke for her efficiency as Secretary, John Appleton for his firm hand on the finances and Rod Cliff for his good humour in dealing with the erratic memory of archaeologists about the state of their subscriptions. The new format of the journal is a credit to the editors, Betty Meehan and Val Attenbrow, and Mary-Jane Mountain has continued the thankless task of Public Officer with her customary efficiency. Wendy Beck's wise counsel as past President has often been invaluable.

I would like to finish with a note of warning. I began with a comment on professionalisation, an issue which was also raised at the Albury Women in Archaeology Conference. I attended that hugely successful conference after the Archaeometry Conference in Canberra. I attended the AIATSIS workshop on Aborigines and Tourism at Hall's Gap, where many of the issues relevant to the Code of Ethics were raised in other guises. I could not attend the Darwin conference on Archaeology and Linguistics or the Albury conference on Aborigines and Heritage because of fieldwork. That is a lot of conferences. Tomorrow we begin yet another. Let us hope it is not JUST another conference.

At one level this is a measure of the huge success and health of the discipline in Australia, but we, the Association, should beware. Subscriptions are down, the conference is not sufficient reason for joining – there are other conferences, in addition to those I mentioned there are also New England Archaeological Symposia and the Rock Art Association conferences. If subscriptions are down it means that fewer people receive the journal. We need to examine what the role of the Association is in expanding the discipline. We publish a journal, we have a conference, we make representations. But is this all we do and should it always be all we do, as the political and commercial pressures change and any old zealot with a personal obsession about the past can call themselves an archaeologist?

I should conclude by thanking the organising committee for this conference. It is perhaps technically premature to congratulate them – though I have no doubt that we will all join in doing so at the end of the conference.

President: Iain Davidson

(b) Editors' Report

Recent Issues

Since the last AGM of the AAA we have produced two issues of the journal – 32 and 33. Both of these issues were produced on time and AA33 is with us here today – at least for those people who we knew were coming. We also have bundles of journals for members who are not here but who belong to particular institutions. If there is anyone here today who would be prepared to act as post for these journals, could they please see Betty or myself.

Format

You will have noticed that the format of the journal has changed in

the last two issues. This was done as part of a series of cost-cutting measures:

- (a) Increasing the page size and changing the type of binding will reduce the printings costs.
- (b) Limiting the numbers of pages to <80 will keep us within the postage rate of 250 g.
- (c) The last issue was printed in Canberra under the supervision of Ann Andrews of the Department of Prehistory, RSPacS, ANU. Future issues of AA will be produced through Prehistory, RSPacS. This Department will also mail the journals at a special rate – again giving us a saving in costs.

Back Issues.

These are now stored in the Archives of the Department of Prehistory, RSPacS. Betty Meehan, in conjunction with Ann Andrews, will send these out. However, orders along with payment should still be sent to the Treasurer. Prices for back issues were included in the Editorial of AA33, and are included in the Publications Catalogue of the Department of Prehistory, RSPacS, ANU.

Editorial procedures

As another cost-reducing measure, we will be asking contributors to make all necessary editorial changes and corrections as this takes quite a lot of time – but more importantly a lot of money as the typist does this part of the work. To this end we have produced a small 'style manual' which we will send out to contributors. If anyone wishes to have a copy, please let us know.

Thanks

We wish to express our thanks to Lorraine Garland, Oceania Publications and Sydney University Press for their help over the years in producing AA. It has been an enjoyable association, and it is only the savings that we will achieve in moving to RSPacS that has brought about the change. We also look forward to the time when AA could have its own terrace-house!

Thanks must also go to:

Ann Andrews for her help in producing AA33; Richard Fullagar and Lesley Head for acting as Review Editors for AA32 and AA33; Australian Museum, the National Museum of Australia, the Australian Heritage Commission and Wollongong University for allowing Betty Meehan, Val Attenbrow, Richard Fullagar and Lesley Head to do some of their AA work in work time and to use their facilities; our referees for AA32 and 33 – some of whom have been somewhat over-zealous! Their work is much appreciated; Annie Clarke and Rhys Jones who helped moved the back issues from the National Museum of Australia to RSPacS, where they are now in excellent order and have a permanent home; and finally, our contributors: thanks for all their efforts. We encourage further contributions and in particular ask people to think about pictures and visuals. We do not get as many as we would like – is this due to economic times?

Editors: Val Attenbrow and Betty Meehan

Review Editors: Richard Fullagar and Leslie Head

Prior to the Treasurer's report being read, letters of resignation from both the Treasurer and the Membership Secretary were tabled and read, to take effect as from the 31 January 1992.

TREASURER'S REPORT

The financial situation of the Association at the end of 1990 was as had been forecast in the Treasurer's Statement presented at the Townsville Conference in December 1990. The blow-out in publication costs for issues of the journal – numbers 29 and 30 – of nearly \$4000 above that covered by members subscriptions, exposed the

bottom of the cash box. An increase in subscription rates was recommended and approved, and the Editors undertook to seek ways of reducing publication costs, one of which was to limit the number of pages in each issue.

The final figures for 1990 showed two significant causes for the depleted balance for the 'Net Assets after Accruals'. The income item 'Subscriptions and Sales' for 1990 showed a dramatic decrease from the previous year as a consequence of a drive in 1989 to raise membership and encourage pre-payment for 1990. The lag effect was for a reduction in gross income in 1990.

The second and most significant cause for the poor annual figures (net) in 1990 was the publication costs of AA29 and AA30, which amounted to \$13,760. If publication costs had been maintained at their budgeted levels the final figures for 1990 would have shown Net Assets after Accruals in the order of \$6000.

In 1991 the situation was rectified by an increase in subscription rates and in a controlled reduction of publication costs. The income item 'Subscriptions and Sales' represents a realistic income from approximately 350 paid-up members, and publication costs have been pegged to between \$4500 and \$5000 per issue.

Whilst I do not wish to pre-empt the policy decisions of the incoming Treasurer and Executive I would forecast that unless there is a dramatic decrease in membership or equally, a dramatic increase in publication costs, that the present subscription rates will be sufficient to ensure the viability of the Association for at least three years. However, that is not to say that the position should not be constantly reviewed.

I have advised the Executive that I do not wish to continue as Treasurer in 1992. I would like to take the opportunity to thank the various people who have been on the Executive during the last three years for their support, and in particular Betty Meehan and Val Attenbrow for their willingness to respond to financial constraints on their co-editorship. I would also like to thank those of you who have supported and encouraged me to continue as Treasurer during the last three years, and Professor Graham Connah for allowing me to use the facilities of the Department of Archaeology and Paleoanthropology, University of New England. Thank you.

Treasurer: J. Appleton

The President moved:

that the AAA thank the Treasurer for his outstanding work in sorting out the finances of the Association.
Motion carried.

Geoff Hope raised the possibility of a separate price for the journals as distinct from membership.

Mike Green reported on the status of the skeletal remains sub-committee and stated that it is in the process of beginning a response to the Australian Museum's communication concerning a proposed national policy on skeletal remains held in museums. Phil Gordon, from the Australian Museum, invited general comments on this proposed policy, copies of which may be obtained from the AAA Executive, and drew our attention to a more complete document on this and other related issues, copies of which may be obtained from Val Attenbrow.

5. ELECTION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS:

The following nominations were received for the 1992 AAA Executive and were elected unopposed:

President: Ian Lilley
Nominated: Sandra Bowdler
Seconded: Iain Davidson

Secretary: Lynda Strawbridge
Nominated: Peter Veth
Seconded: Bruce Veitch

Treasurer: Cathy Stokes
Nominated: David Crew
Seconded: Kate Morse

Membership Secretary: Celmara Pocock
Nominated: Betty Meehan
Seconded: David Crew

W. A. Committee Member: Madge Schwede
Nominated: Kate Morse
Seconded: Sandra Bowdler

It was proposed from the chair:

that the present Editors and Review Editors be re-elected

Motion carried by acclamation.

Thus the 1992 Editors will be Betty Meehan and Val Attenbrow; the Review Editors will be Richard Fullagar and Lesley Head.

Public Officer: Mary-Jane Mountain
Nominated and elected unopposed.

6. ELECTION OF COMMITTEE:

The following nominations were received, seconded and elected unopposed:

Victoria: Mike Green
New South Wales: Denise Donion
Australian Capital Territory: Robyne Bancroft
Northern Territory: Norma Richardson
South Australia: Donald Pate
Tasmania: Angela McGowan
Queensland: Stephen Sutton

It was agreed that nominations for the Aboriginal members of the Executive be solicited for election at a later date.

7. GENERAL BUSINESS:

At the request of the newly elected President, the past President remained in the chair until the break for dinner.

(a) Elizabeth Hatte and Stephen Sutton spoke about the destruction of a rock-art site near Townsville by the Department of Transport. Hatte and Sutton moved:

that a letter be sent to the following people deploring the destruction of the Aboriginal rock-art site near Townsville by the Department of Transport and asking that new legislation to replace the Cultural Record Act be introduced as a matter of urgency to ensure that the cultural heritage of Queensland is protected: The Premier, Wayne Goss; the Minister for Transport, David Hamill; the Minister of Environment and Heritage, Pat Comben; and the Director-General of the Department of Environment and Heritage, Craig Emerson.

Seconded: Mike Green.
Motion carried.

(b) Mike Green spoke about the current industrial dispute in Victoria between the Minister and the Director-General of the Department of Conservation and Environment. Green moved:

that the AAA requests the Premier of Victoria to consider what impact the failure of the Minister and the Director-General of Conservation and Environment to resolve the industrial dispute currently paralysing their departments' activities is having on the management of Victoria's cultural heritage.

Seconded: Nick Hall.

Motion carried.

(c) Annie Clarke and Liz Williams spoke about the recently passed Northern Territory Heritage Legislation, particularly concerning the confusion in the Act about definitions of site types and the exclusion of some site types from the Act entirely. Clarke moved:

1. that the AAA expresses its concern at the structure and content of the recently passed Northern Territory Heritage Conservation Act because it appears to provide less protection for Aboriginal archaeological sites than the previous heritage legislation.

2. that the AAA Executive write immediately to the Northern Territory Government and the Conservation Commission of the Northern Territory to outline in detail its concerns about the new legislation.

Seconded: Liz Williams

It was agreed that Annie and Liz draft a letter of the details for the Executive to consider.

Motions carried.

Peter White moved:

that the AAA deplores that pressure is apparently being applied to some of its members not to discuss the contents of the Northern Territory legislation with their professional peers at their annual conference.

Seconded: Sandra Bowdler.

Motion carried *nem con*.

(d) Freddy Coleman addressed the meeting to express the concern of the Ang-Gnarra Aboriginal Community at not being involved by the Australian Rock Art Research Association in the organisation of the 1992 AURA Congress in Cairns.

Mike Morwood moved:

that the executive of the AAA write to the Australian Rock Art Research Association requesting that it enters into a proper process of liaison and negotiation concerning arrangements for the 1992 AURA Congress.

Stephen Sutton recommended that Mike's motion be amended to identify that liaison take place specifically with the Ang-Gnarra Corporation.

Paul Taçon raised the issue of whether this liaison would concern only the planned pre- and post-conference fieldtrips to the Quinkan Reserves, or whether this applied to all aspects of the conference organisation.

Mike Morwood stated that, to the Ang-Gnarra Corporation, all aspects of the conference arrangements were considered inadequate.

Peter White reminded us that it is not appropriate for one organisation to censure another.

The past President moved from the chair:

that the motion be amended to read that 'the Executive of the AAA write to the Australian Rock Art Research

Association expressing its concern that AAA has been informed by its members that a proper process of liaison and negotiation concerning arrangements for the 1992 AURA Congress has not yet been entered into'.

Motion carried.

(e) Roger Cribb moved:

1. that the AAA consider its position in relation to the Queensland Aboriginal Land Act.

2. that the AAA renew the resolution passed at the AAA AGM 1990 to co-opt senior archaeological delegates to hold open discussions with Aboriginal people and organisations in Queensland (if they so wish) about:

(a) a suitably consultative framework for archaeologists working for Aboriginal people on land claims and site protection;

(b) control and deniability of material arising through such research and appropriate contractual forms;

(c) the ethics of EIS studies and working directly for developers;

(d) education roles communicating the potential uses for archaeological evidence;

(e) any other concerns of Aboriginal people concerning archaeology.

and that this motion be amended so as to include the topic of heritage legislation.

3. that the Queensland Government be strongly urged to adopt an extended consultative framework for heritage legislation involving representative Aboriginal bodies in developing the legislation.

Seconded: Mike Morwood.

Motion carried.

At this stage the meeting was adjourned to be re-convened after dinner.

Meeting re-convened 8.20 pm.

(f) Late item for agenda. Funding for rock-art protection. Nick Hall proposed:

that AAA write to the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs expressing satisfaction for support for the AIATSIS Rock Art Protection Program for the financial year 1991-92. AAA expresses the desire that the program continues in forthcoming years, and financial allocation continues and increases.

AAA is concerned that the \$150,000 allocated in 1986-87 has not increased since that time.

Seconded: Iain Davidson.

Motion carried.

(g) Val Attenbrow announced that the Australian Museum was proposing to charge a deposition fee for material lodged with it, and this was to come into effect in July 1992. Boxes for storage of material were to be standard and could be purchased from the Museum. The charge was not to be retrospective.

The suggestion was made that there be a two-tier fee for academics and consultants so that consultants could build this into their fees to clients. Question asked - would all persons subject to this fee be informed by newsletter and letter?

(h) The motion by Roger Cribb being dealt with before the break in meeting, was returned to discussion. The first part of the motion was abandoned. The second part of motion - discussion. A number of Queensland Aboriginal people formed a committee at last years AAA conference to liaise with government on heritage

legislation. But the government directive was not to deal with that committee, so it no longer exists. The Queensland Act is currently ineffective and unenforced and involves no consultation in heritage work.

Motion:

that the Queensland government be urged to consult extensively with Aboriginal bodies in developing procedures for consultation. (The Executive did not receive the complete text for this wording. It thus cannot be reported here.)

(I) Sue O'Connor proposed:

that in order to demonstrate a commitment to equal opportunity policies for women in the archaeological career structure, future AAA meetings provide professional childcare for children of all ages, for the duration of the scientific and other sessions and meetings and that this is subsidised by AAA.

During discussion, Peter White proposed:

that the wording be changed from 'women' to 'parents'.

Seconded: Peter Veth.

Motion carried.

B. ANY OTHER BUSINESS:

Peter White proposed:

that Sydney University organise the next conference, probably on the weekend of the 10-13 December 1992 at Valla. Meeting was in agreement.

Meeting closed at 8.40 pm.



"Sure, sure, I can see the labor saving possibilities, but how do we cope with all the leisure time it's bound to produce?"

WA Department for Youth, Sport and
Recreation *Annual Report 1980-81.*
(Supplied by AA)

Archaeology in the early 1990s: seeking a comparative perspective on theory, method and teaching

Is it likely that the 1990s will see the emergence of an identifiable 'world archaeology'? Generally archaeology in various parts of the world can be viewed as follows: Britain as an intellectual centre for both radical and conservative schools, north America as a leader in the development of theory, France as a leader in the development of methods, Australia and New Zealand as solid, but largely atheoretical and parochial. How much of this is true and how much generalisation? Does the emergence of a world archaeology necessarily imply obliteration of the distinguishing characteristics of archaeology in each region? What will be the identifying characteristics of archaeology in these regions at the end of the twentieth century?

From 22nd to 24th August 1992, the Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology, University of New England, will be hosting a research seminar devoted to these issues. Selected overseas and Australian scholars have been invited to participate, with the aim of providing a cross-cultural perspective on three issues central to modern archaeology: theory, method and teaching.

The symposiums on theory and method will investigate specific topics. Some of the issues likely to be discussed in these sessions include how has post-modernism influenced current archaeological theory and practice? what role for indigenous people in archaeological practice? how do archaeologists employed in museums/art galleries shape general social ideologies? what responsibility do academics have to make their research relevant and accessible to the general public? The symposium on teaching will be concerned with promoting awareness of the particular characteristics of teaching in various countries and will also include consideration of the special issues involved in teaching archaeology to indigenous peoples.

Interested people wishing to attend should contact the convenors to register before the 5th of August at the address below.

H. Burke Department of Archaeology
C. L-Jones University of New England,
C. Smith Armidale, NSW. 2351.

Ph: (067) 73 2306 or Fax: (067) 73 2526